# DIAMETER, VOLUME, AND TOPOLOGY FOR POSITIVE RICCI CURVATURE

#### J.-H. ESCHENBURG

Dedicated to Wilhelm Klingenberg on the occasion of his 65th birthday

#### 1. Introduction

A compact Riemannian *n*-manifold with (normed) Ricci curvature ric :=  $\operatorname{Ric}/(n-1) \ge 1$  has diameter  $\le \pi$ , and equality holds if and only if M is isometric to the unit *n*-sphere (Cheng's rigidity theorem, cf. [4], [12], [5]). The aim of the present paper is to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $M^n$  be a compact Riemannian manifold with Ricci curvature  $\geq 1$ . Let  $-k^2$  be a lower bound of the sectional curvature of  $M^n$ , and  $\rho$  a lower bound of the injectivity radius. Then we may compute a number  $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(n, \rho, k) > 0$  such that M is homeomorphic to the n-sphere whenever  $\operatorname{diam}(M) > \pi - \varepsilon$ .

More precisely,  $\varepsilon = v(\delta)/\operatorname{vol}(S^{n-1})$ , where v(r) denotes the volume of a ball of radius r in the unit n-sphere and

$$\delta = \begin{cases} \rho - \cosh^{-1}(\cosh(k\rho)^2)/(2k) & \text{for } k > 0, \\ (1 - \sqrt{2}/2)\rho & \text{for } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

For sectional curvature, a much stronger result is known:

**Theorem 2** (Berger [3], Grove-Shiohama [8], [9]). Let  $M^n$  be a compact Riemannian manifold with sectional curvature  $K \ge 1$  and diameter  $D > \pi/2$ . Then M is homeomorphic to a sphere.

One may not expect such a theorem for Ricci curvature since, e.g., for  $M = S^m \times S^m$  with ric = 1 we have  $\operatorname{diam}(M) = (1 - 1/(2m - 1))^{1/2} \cdot \pi$ . So the bound on the diameter must depend at least on the dimension. A diameter pinching theorem for Ricci curvature in the diffeomorphism category was first stated by Brittain [2] (whose proof used an incorrect version of Gromov's compactness theorem) and proved by Katsuda [11, p. 13] using a result of Kasue [10]. However, the proof needs also an upper curvature bound, and it would be hard to compute the  $\varepsilon$ . We give a

Received March 27, 1989 and, in revised form, January 22, 1990.

direct proof combining the methods of Grove and Shiohama [12], [8] with the idea that large diameter implies small excess in the sense of Abresch and Gromoll [1]. After this work was finished, Grove and Petersen [7] investigated the excess in more generality and proved our theorem in this context. In fact, using earlier work [6], they could replace the injectivity radius bound with a lower volume bound.

#### 2. Proofs

To prove the theorems, we consider points p,  $q \in M$  of maximal distance in M and the functions  $r_p(x) = d(p, x)$ ,  $r_q(x) = d(q, x)$ . For any  $x \in M$  let  $\Gamma_p(x)$  and  $\Gamma_q(x)$  be the sets containing the final tangent vectors of all shortest unitary geodesics from p and q (resp.) to x. A point x is called a regular point (in the sense of Grove-Shiohama and Gromov) for the function  $r_p - r_q$  if there exists  $v \in T_x M$  with

$$\langle v, a-b\rangle > 0$$

for all  $a \in \Gamma_p(x)$  and  $b \in \Gamma_q(x)$ . Such a vector v is called *admissible*. The admissible vectors at x form an open convex cone. If an admissible vector v at x is extended to a smooth vector field V, then V(y) is admissible for all y close to x. Otherwise, there would be sequence  $y_j \to x$  and  $a_j \in \Gamma_p(y_j)$ ,  $b_j \in \Gamma_q(y_j)$  with  $\langle a_j - b_j, V(y_j) \rangle \leq 0$ . But subsequences of  $(a_j)$  and  $(b_j)$  would converge to some  $a \in \Gamma_p(x)$ ,  $b \in \Gamma_q(x)$  for which we would also get  $\langle a - b, V(x) \rangle \leq 0$ . This is a contradiction.

The following lemma (cf. [8], [9]) is basic for our proof.

**Lemma.** If all points of  $M \setminus \{p, q\}$  are regular points for  $r_p - r_q$ , then M is homeomorphic to the n-sphere.

Proof. Any  $x\in M\backslash\{p,q\}$  has a neighborhood  $U_x$  and a smooth vector field  $V_x$  on  $U_x$  which is admissible. Further, we let  $U_p$  and  $U_q$  be open balls centered at p and q where the exponential maps have smooth inverse maps, and put  $V_p = \nabla(r_p^2)$  and  $V_q = -\nabla(r_q^2)$ . Then  $V_p$  is admissible outside p since  $\Gamma_p(x) = \{\nabla r_p(x)\}$  for any  $x\in U_p$  and  $\nabla r_p(x)\notin \Gamma_q(x)$ ; likewise,  $V_q$  is admissible. By compactness, finitely many of the open sets  $U_x$ ,  $x\in M$ , cover M, say  $U_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $U_N$  with corresponding vector fields  $V_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $V_N$ . If  $\{\phi_j; j=1,\cdots,N\}$  is a corresponding decomposition of unity, then  $V=\sum \phi_j V_j$  is admissible outside  $\{p,q\}$  and extends the vector fields  $\nabla(r_p^2)$  and  $-\nabla(r_q^2)$  near p and q. In particular, p and q are the only zeros of V. Thus by the flow of V we get a diffeomorphism of  $B_r(p)$  onto  $M\setminus B_r(q)$  for small enough r, which shows that M is homeomorphic to a sphere. q.e.d.

Thus it suffices to prove that  $r_p - r_q$  has only regular points if the diameter bound  $\varepsilon$  is small enough. In the proof of the previous proposition we saw that  $B_r(p) \setminus \{p\}$  and  $B_r(q) \setminus \{q\}$  contain only regular points if r is smaller than the injectivity radius at p and q, in particular if  $r < \rho$ .

Now suppose that  $x \in M$  is a nonregular (critical) point of  $r_p - r_q$ . We claim that there exist  $a \in \Gamma_p(x)$  and  $b \in \Gamma_a(x)$  with

$$(*) \langle a, b \rangle \geq 0.$$

Otherwise,  $\Gamma_p(x)$  would be contained in the open convex cone  $C = \{v \in T_x M : \langle v, b \rangle < 0 \text{ for any } b \in \Gamma_q(x) \}$ , and C would contain a vector c with  $\langle c, v \rangle > 0$  for all  $v \in C$ . Hence  $\langle c, a - b \rangle > 0$  for any  $a \in \Gamma_p(x)$ ,  $b \in \Gamma_q(x)$ , and c would be an admissible vector, which is impossible.

It is now easy to finish the proof of Theorem 2. Namely, we find a geodesic triangle with vertices x, p, q and angle  $\leq \pi/2$  at x. By Toponogov's comparison theorem, a triangle  $(x_0, p_0, q_0)$  with the same side lengths in the unit sphere  $S^2$  also has angle  $\leq \pi/2$  at  $x_0$ . But such a triangle cannot exist if the largest side  $p_0q_0$  has length  $> \pi/2$ . Namely, either the length a of, say,  $q_0x_0$  also exceeds  $\pi/2$ , in which case  $p_0$  lies in the convex ball  $B_{\pi-a}(-q_0)$  whose boundary intersects  $q_0x_0$  orthogonally at  $x_0$ , so the angle at  $x_0$  is larger than  $\pi/2$ , or both sides  $p_0x_0$  and  $q_0x_0$  have lengths  $\leq \pi/2$ . The length of  $p_0q_0$  is certainly not larger than the diameter of the triangle  $(p_0, q_0, x_0)$ . If the angle at  $x_0$  is  $\leq \pi/2$ , this triangle is contained in a triangle of side lengths and angles equal to  $\pi/2$ , i.e., a quarter half-sphere. This has diameter  $\pi/2$ , so the length of  $p_0q_0$  cannot exceed  $\pi/2$ . Thus there are no such triangles and hence  $M\setminus\{p,q\}$  contains only regular points, which proves Theorem 2. q.e.d.

To prove Theorem 1, let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be the shortest geodesics from p and q to x with final vectors a and b satisfying (\*). Now we consider the excess function (cf. [1])

$$e = r_p + r_q - D,$$

where D = d(p, q) = diam(M). By the triangle comparison theorem, e(x) is bounded from below by the excess

$$e_0 = d(p_0\,,\,x_0) + d(q_0\,,\,x_0) - d(p_0\,,\,q_0)$$

of a triangle  $(p_0, q_0, x_0)$  in the hyperbolic plane of curvature  $-k^2$  with  $d(x_0, p_0) = r_p(x)$ ,  $d(x_0, q_0) = r_q(x)$  where the angle at  $x_0$  equals the angle between  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , which by (\*) is at most  $\pi/2$ . This hyperbolic excess is decreasing if we make the angle at  $x_0$  larger and the side lengths

 $d(x_0, p_0)$  and  $d(x_0, q_0)$  shorter. Since x is a critical point, we have

$$r_p(x) \ge \rho$$
,  $r_q(x) \ge \rho$ 

and, therefore, e(x) is bounded from below by the excess  $e_1$  of a hyperbolic triangle  $(p_1, q_1, x_1)$  with angle  $\pi/2$  at  $x_1$  and sides lengths  $d(x_1, p_1) = d(x_1, q_1) = \rho$ . By the cosine law we have

$$e(x) \ge e_1 = 2\rho - \cosh^{-1}(\cosh(k\rho)^2)/k.$$

Let us put  $\delta = e_1/2$ . Then we have

$$r_p(x) \ge r + \delta$$
,  $r_q(x) \ge D - r + \delta$ 

for some r > 0. In other words,

$$B_{\delta}(x) \subset P := M \setminus (B_r(p) \cup B_{D-r}(q)).$$

If v(t) denotes the volume of a ball of radius t in the unit n-sphere  $S^n$ , we have the Bishop-Gromov inequality (e.g., cf. [5, 4.3]), applied to balls with radii  $\delta$  and D,

(1) 
$$\operatorname{vol}(P) \ge \operatorname{vol}(B_{\delta}(x)) \ge v(\delta) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(M) / \operatorname{vol}(S^n).$$

On the other hand, the Bishop-Gromov inequality also gives an upper bound for vol(P). Namely,

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_r(p)) + \operatorname{vol}(B_{D-r}(q)) \ge (v(r) + v(D-r)) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(M) / \operatorname{vol}(S^n),$$

and  $\operatorname{vol}(S^n) - (v(r) + v(D - r))$  is the volume of a tubular neighborhood of radius  $(\pi - D)/2$  around a small sphere of spherical radius  $r + \frac{1}{2}(\pi - D)$ . By Cavallieri's principle, this volume gets larger if we replace the small sphere by a great sphere, and therefore

$$\operatorname{vol}(S^n) - (v(r) + v(D - r)) \le (\pi - D) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(S^{n-1}).$$

Hence

$$\operatorname{vol}(B_r(p) \cup B_{D-r}(q)) \ge \operatorname{vol}(M) - (\pi - D) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(S^{n-1}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(M) / \operatorname{vol}(S^n),$$
 which shows

(2) 
$$\operatorname{vol}(P) \le (\pi - D) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(S^{n-1}) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(M) / \operatorname{vol}(S^n).$$

Now (1) and (2) cannot hold together if

$$\pi - D < \varepsilon := v(\delta) \cdot \operatorname{vol}(S^{n-1}).$$

So, in this case, the function  $r_p - r_q$  has only regular points, which finishes the proof.

### Acknowledgements

We wish to thank the referee for several useful hints.

## References

- U. Abresch & D. Gromoll, On complete manifolds with nonnegative Ricci curvature, preprint 87-27, Max-Planck-Inst. für Math., Bonn, 1987.
- [2] D. L. Brittain, A diameter pinching theorem for positive Ricci curvature, preprint, Philadelphia, 1987.
- [3] J. Cheeger & D. G. Ebin, Comparison theorems in Riemannian geometry, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1975.
- [4] S. Y. Cheng, Eigenvalue comparison theorems and its geometric applications, Math. Z. 143 (1975) 289-297.
- [5] J.-H. Eschenburg, Comparison theorems and hypersurfaces, Manuscripta Math. 59 (1987) 295-323.
- [6] K. Grove & P. Petersen, Bounding homotopy types by geometry, Ann. of Math. (2) 128 (1988) 171-227.
- [7] \_\_\_\_, A pinching theorem for homotopy spheres, J. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [8] K. Grove & K. Shiohama, A generalized sphere theorem, Ann. of Math. (2) 106 (1977) 201-211.
- [9] H. Karcher, Riemannian comparison constructions, Global Differential Geometry (S. S. Chern, ed.), Studies in Math., Vol. 27, Math. Assoc. Amer., Washington, DC, 1989.
- [10] A. Kasue, Applications of the Laplacian and Hessian comparison theorems, Geometry of Geodesics (Proceedings 1982), Advanced Studies in Pure Math. 3 (1984) 333-386.
- [11] A. Katsuda, Gromov's convergence theorem and its applications, Nagoya Math. J. 100 (1985) 11-48.
- [12] K. Shiohama, A sphere theorem for manifolds of positive Ricci curvature, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 275 (1983) 811-819.

Universität Augsburg